# A class of improved Nyquist pulses

Alexandru, Nicolae Dumitru Onofrei, Ligia Alexandra

# 6<sup>th</sup> December 2005

"Gh. Asachi" Technical University of Iaşi, Department of Telecommunications Bd. Carol I no 11, 700506 - IAŞI, ROMANIA nalex@etc.tuiasi.ro, onofreial@eed.usv.ro

#### Abstract

A novel class of ISI-free pluses is presented. We propose a class of new Nyquist pulses that shows comparable or better ISI performance in the presence of sampling errors, as compared with some recently proposed pulses. Keywords: *intersymbol interference, Nyquist filter, error probability*.

# 1 Introduction

The most popular Nyquist pulse [1] is the raised-cosine (RC) pulse, which is produced by a low-pass filter with odd symmetry about the corresponding ideally bandlimited cutoff frequency

$$S_{RC}(f) = \begin{cases} 1, & |f| \le B(1-\alpha) \\ \frac{1}{2} + \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2B\alpha}(|f| - B(1-\alpha))\right), & B(1-\alpha)|\le |f|| \le B(1+\alpha) \\ 0, & B(1+\alpha) < |f| \end{cases}$$
(1)

where *B* is the bandwidth corresponding to symbol repetition rate T = 1/2B. The corresponding (scaled) time function is

$$p_{RC}(t) = \sin c(t/T) \frac{\cos(2\pi\alpha t/T)}{1 - 4\alpha^2 t^2/T^2}$$
(2)

Recently, improved Nyquist pulses that show smaller maximum distortion, more open receiver eye and a smaller symbol error rate in the presence of symbol timing error were reported [2,3 and 4]. They are defined by

$$S_{i}(f) = \begin{cases} 1, & |f| \leq B(1-\alpha) \\ G((|f| - B(1-\alpha)), & B(1-\alpha)| \leq |f|| \leq B \\ 1 - G((B(1+\alpha) - |f|), & B < |f| \leq B(1+\alpha) \\ 0, & B(1+\alpha) < |f| \end{cases}$$
(3)

where G(f) is a function satisfying G(0) = 1. In [2,3 and 4] G(f) was chosen to have a concave shape in the frequency interval  $B(1-\alpha) \le |f|| \le B$  in order to transfer some energy to the high frequency spectral range. This results in a pulse that decays asymptotically as  $t^{-2}$  as compared with  $t^{-3}$  for the RC pulse, but with the advantage that the eye diagram is more open and, as a consequence, a better bit error rate is obtained.

Two recent contributions showed that improved Nyquist pulses can be obtained with the *flipped-G*(f) technique, e.g. *flipped-exponential* [2] and *flipped-hyperbolic secant* or *flipped-inverse hyperbolic secant* [3].

The envelope of the impulse response decays as  $t^{-2}$  or  $t^{-3}$  at best, since the functions and their flipped counterparts are continuous at  $f_n = 1$ .

The first derivative of the *flipped-hyperbolic secant* is continuous at  $f_n = 1$ , which accounts for its steeper decay. The *flipped-exponential* technique uses  $G(f) = e^f$  and  $\beta = \ln 2/(\alpha B)$ , while in [3]  $G(f) = \sec h(f)$  and  $\beta = \gamma = \ln(\sqrt{3}+2)/(\alpha B)$  or  $X(f) = 1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha B\gamma} \arccos h(f)$  with  $\beta = \frac{1}{2\alpha B}$ .

# 2 A class of new Nyquist pulses

#### 2.1 Frequency- and time domain characteristics

We propose o class of new Nyquist pulses, that are defined for positive frequencies as in (3), with

$$G_{i}(f) = \frac{1}{2B^{i}\alpha^{i}}(B-f)^{i} + \frac{1}{2}$$
(4)

For *i* odd they show odd symmetry around *B* and their definition can be

$$S_{i}(f) = \begin{cases} 1, & |f| \le B(1-\alpha) \\ G(f) & B(1-\alpha) \le |f| \le B(1+\alpha) \\ 0, & B(1+\alpha) < |f| \end{cases}$$
(5)

For *i* even, the vestigial symmetry is obtained by choosing G(f) for the frequency

interval  $B(1-\alpha) \le f \le B$  and 1-G(f) for  $B \le f \le B(1+\alpha)$ . This technique will be denoted in the sequel as *flipped-G(f)* [4].

Figure 1 illustrates this class of new Nyquist filter characteristics for i = 2, 3, and 4 together with the flipped exponential (FE) defined in [2], taken as a reference.



Figure 1 Frequency characteristics of the proposed pulses for an excess bandwidth  $\alpha = 0.35$  (positive frequencies only).

Since they are more concave than the FE pulse, a decrease of the first side lobe in time domain is to be expected, as shown in Fig.2.



Figure 2 Impulse responses with roll-off factor  $\alpha = 0.35$ 

The impulse responses  $s_i(t)$  are given by

$$s_{1}(t) = \frac{\sin(2\pi t)\sin(2\pi \alpha t)}{4\alpha \pi^{2} t^{2}}$$

$$s_{2}(t) = s_{1}(t) \left(2 - \frac{\tan(\pi \alpha t)}{\pi \alpha t}\right)$$

$$s_{3}(t) = s_{1}(t) \left(3 - \frac{3}{2\pi^{2} \alpha^{2} t^{2}} + \frac{3\cot(2\pi \alpha t)}{\pi \alpha t}\right)$$

$$s_{4}(t) = s_{1}(t) \frac{4x^{3} - 6x + (6x^{2} - 3)\cot(2x) + 3\csc(2x)}{x^{3}}$$
(6)

where  $x = \pi \alpha t$ .





the new pulse defined by (4) with i = 2 or (6) follows closely the FE pulse.

Regarding the other pulses (i = 3 and 4), though the decrease of the first side lobe is more significant, the side lobes are significantly larger, which results in increased ISI. Figure 4 illustrates the receiver eye diagram for FE pulse and the new pulse with i = 2.



### 2.2 Error probability

When the receiver eye is sampled off center, as in practical receivers, timing error results in an increase of the average symbol error probability [2, 3, 14]. This is calculated using the method of [14] for all proposed pulses and illustrated in Table I, together with those for FE pulse.

Pe	α	$\frac{t}{T_B} = \pm 0.05$	$t/T_B = \pm 0.1$	$t/T_B = \pm 0.2$	$t/T_B = \pm 0.25$
	0.25	5.81166*10 <sup>-8</sup>	1.29804*10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.56785*10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.94623*10 <sup>-3</sup>
	0.35	3.92526*10 <sup>-8</sup>	5.40211*10 <sup>-7</sup>	$1.01287*10^{-4}$	9.35356*10 <sup>-4</sup>
FE	0.5	2.41342*10 <sup>-8</sup>	1.85795*10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.08778*10 <sup>-5</sup>	2.01544*10-4
	0.75	1.38358*10 <sup>-8</sup>	4.56676*10 <sup>-8</sup>	3.22601*10 <sup>-6</sup>	4.1329*10 <sup>-5</sup>
	1	1.3149*10 <sup>-8</sup>	3.56922*10 <sup>-8</sup>	1.6144*10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.22729*10 <sup>-5</sup>
	0.25	5.29098*10 <sup>-8</sup>	$1.08226*10^{-6}$	2.84535*10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.3886*10 <sup>-3</sup>
0	0.35	3.55379*10 <sup>-8</sup>	4.59398*10 <sup>-7</sup>	8.64181*10 <sup>-5</sup>	8.00848*10 <sup>-4</sup>
\$2	0.5	2.20606*10-8	1.66335*10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.22196*10 <sup>-5</sup>	2.25693*10 <sup>-4</sup>
1=2	0.75	1.44199*10 <sup>-8</sup>	5.25581*10 <sup>-8</sup>	5.92678*10 <sup>-6</sup>	9.30352*10 <sup>-5</sup>
	1	1.89674*10 <sup>-8</sup>	1.03937*10 <sup>-7</sup>	7.97992*10 <sup>-6</sup>	7.70296*10 <sup>-5</sup>
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	0.25	5.02974*10 <sup>-8</sup>	$1.00501*10^{-6}$	$2.66064*10^{-4}$	$2.2259*10^{-3}$
i=3	0.35	3.40523*10 <sup>-8</sup>	4.497*10 <sup>-7</sup>	9.21857*10 <sup>-5</sup>	8.48554*10 <sup>-4</sup>
	0.5	2.17085*10-8	1.72143*10-7	2.93619*10 <sup>-5</sup>	3.24192*10-4
	0.75	$1.71828*10^{-8}$	$8.82974*10^{-8}$	$1.26322*10^{-5}$	$1.94043*10^{-4}$

	1	2.98321*10 <sup>-8</sup>	3.26661*10 <sup>-7</sup>	5.24233*10 <sup>-5</sup>	4.41245*10 <sup>-4</sup>
S4 i=4	0.25	4.934224*10 <sup>-8</sup>	9.92992*10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.68781*10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.22926*10 <sup>-3</sup>
	0.35	3.37477*10 <sup>-8</sup>	4.64803*10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.03168*10 <sup>-4</sup>	9.41583*10 <sup>-4</sup>
	0.5	2.20729*10 <sup>-8</sup>	1.85251*10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.80746*10 <sup>-5</sup>	4.43739*10 <sup>-4</sup>
	0.75	2.03095*10 <sup>-8</sup>	1.41921*10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.32649*10 <sup>-5</sup>	3.21185*10 <sup>-4</sup>
	1	4.15202*10-8	7.05569*10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.68712*10-4	1.34152*10 <sup>-3</sup>

 Table 1: ISI error probability of several Nyquist pulses for N=2<sup>10</sup> interfering symbols and SNR = 15 dB

# 3 Conclusions

A new class of Nyquist pulses that show reduced maximum distortion, a more open receiver eye and decreased symbol error probability in the presence of timing error as compared with the FE pulse [2] with the same roll-off factor was introduced. Its transmission properties were thoroughly investigated and show that the pulses have practical importance.

### References

- [1] Nyquist, H. (1928) "Certain topics in telegraph transmission theory" *AIEE Trans.*, vol. 47, pp. 617–644.
- [2] Beaulieu, N. C., Tan, C. C., and Damen, M. O. (2001) "A "better than" Nyquist pulse," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 5, pp. 367–368, Sept..
- [3] Assalini, A.and Tonello, A. M. (2004) "Improved Nyquist pulses," *IEEE Communications Letters,* vol. 8, pp. 87 89, February.
- [4] Beaulieu N. C.and Damen, M. O., (2004) "Parametric construction of Nyquist-I pulses," *IEEE Trans. Communications*, vol. COM-52, pp. 2134 -2142, December.
- [5] Lucky, R. W., Salz, J. and Weldon Jr., E. J. (1968) "Principles of Data Communication". New York: McGraw-Hill,.
- [6] Xia, X.-G. (1997) "A family of pulse-shaping filters with ISI-free matched and unmatched filter properties," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 45, pp. 1157–1158, Oct..
- [7] Demeechai, T. (1998) "Pulse-shaping filters with ISI-free matched and unmatched filter properties," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 46, p. 992, Aug..
- [8] Tan, C. C. and Beaulieu, N. C. (2004) "Transmission properties of conjugate-root pulses," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 52, pp. 553–558, Apr.
- [9] Franks, L. E. (1968) "Further results on Nyquist's problem in pulse transmission," *IEEE Trans. Commun. Technol.*, vol. COM-16, pp. 337–

340, Apr.

- [10] Kisel A. V., (1999) "An extension of pulse shaping filters theory," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 47, pp. 645–647, May.
- [11] (2000) "Nyquist 1 universal filters," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 48, pp.1095–1099, July.
- [12] Andrisano, O. and Chiani, M. (1994) "The first Nyquist criterion applied to coherent receiver design for generalized MSK signals," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 42, Feb./Mar./Apr.
- [13] Sayar, B. andPasupathy, S. (1987). "Nyquist 3 pulse shaping in continuous phase modulation," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. COM-35, pp. 57–67, Jan.
- [14] Beaulieu, N. C. (1991) "The evaluation of error probabilities for intersymbol and cochannel interference," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 31, pp.1740–1749, Dec.
- [15] Hill Jr., F. S. (1977) "A unified approach to pulse design in data transmission," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. COM-25, pp. 346–354, Mar..
- [16] Alagh, N. S. and Kabal, P. (1999) "Generalized raised-cosine filters," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 47, pp. 989–997, July.